- Product: Saccharin tablets. Two shipments of the product were contained in small packages attached to cards, and 12 packages were attached to each card, small package was labeled as containing 35 tablets. The third shipment of Each package was labeled as containing 35 tablets. The third shipment of the product was contained in bottles purportedly containing 100 tablets each, the product was contained in bottles purportedly containing 100 tablets.
- LABEL, IN PART: (Display cards) "Nasco Brand Saccharin Tablets 35's"; (packages) "Nasco Brand Saccharin Tablets ¼ Grain Soluble 10¢"; or (bottles) "Nasco Brand 100 Saccharin Tablets."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (2), the product in both size packages failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents since the label on the 35-tablet size packages bore no statement of the quantity of the contents, and the label on the 100-tablet size bottles bore an inaccurate statement since some of the bottles contained less than 100 tablets and some contained more than 100 tablets; and, Section less than 100 tablets and some contained more than 100 tablets 35's" was false 403 (a), the statement on the display cards "Saccharin Tablets 35's" was false and misleading since a number of packages attached to the cards contained less than 35 tablets.
- DISPOSITION: March 24, 1948. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the defendant was fined \$100.
- 13890. Misbranding of Dr. Schultz Vitalic Egg-Maker. U. S. v. Picard Pharmacy, Inc. (Venus Wholesale Co.), and Lawrence J. Doud and Ralph R. Reemts. Pleas of nolo contendere. Fine of \$75 and costs against defendants jointly. (F. D. C. No. 23263. Sample No. 20637-H.)
- Information Filed: January 30, 1948, District of Nebraska, against Picard Pharmacy, Inc., trading under the name of the Venus Wholesale Co. at Geneva, Nebr., and against Lawrence J. Doud, president, and Ralph R. Reemts, secretary.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 7, 1947, from the State of Nebraska into the State of Kansas.
- PRODUCT: Analysis disclosed that the product consisted essentially of mineral salts containing 23.23 percent of calcium, 3.40 percent of phosphorus, 2.99 percent of iron, 9.81 percent of sodium chloride, and a manganese compound, charcoal, and plant material including nux vomica and quassia.
- LABEL, IN PART: "Dr. Schultz Vitalic Egg-Maker and General Conditioner * * *

 Dr. Schultz-Veterinary Laboratories [or "Dr. Schultz Vitalic Egg-Maker

 * * * Sole Midwest Distributors Venus Wholesale Co."] Geneva, Nebr."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Dr. Schultz Vitalic Egg-Maker. Misbranding, Section 403 (a), certain statements on the label of the article and in a circular entitled "Dr. Schultz Vitalic Egg-Maker" enclosed with the article were false and misleading since the article would not fulfill the promises of benefit suggested and implied. The statements represented and suggested and created the impression that the article was necessary for the production of eggs; that it was a medicated tonic and conditioner for poultry; that it would keep poultry was a medicated tonic and condition, and would build blood and bone; that it would in a thriving, healthy condition, and would build blood and bone; that it would be effective in producing diuresis and laxation; that it would stimulate the appetite and improve the general tone and condition of poultry; that it would be effective in checking worms and parasites in the intestinal tract of poultry and would prevent losses in poultry; that it would promote quick gains and rapid growth and would be effective in eliminating toxic poisons from clogged systems.

The information alleged also that certain other veterinary preparations, together with the Dr. Schultz Vitalic Egg-Maker, were misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to drugs, as reported in notices of judgment on drugs and devices, No. 2543.

DISPOSITION: March 10, 1948. Pleas of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$75 and costs against the defendants jointly.

13891. Misbranding of National Yeast Feeds and Master-Mix Mineral Feed. U. S. v. National Compound Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$250. (F. D. C. No. 23267. Sample Nos. 77553-H, 77555-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: February 9, 1948, District of South Dakota, against the National Compound Co., a corporation, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 3, 1947, from the State of South Dakota into the State of Minnesota.

PRODUCT: Analyses disclosed that the National Yeast Feeds consisted of a heterogeneous gray powder containing 9.5 percent salt, 0.0048 percent potassium iodide, 0.63 percent crude fat, and 3.8 percent crude fiber; and that the Master Mix Mineral Feed was a heterogeneous cream-colored powder containing 5.76 percent protein, 0.14 percent crude fat, and 0.007 percent iodine.

NATURE OF CHARGE: National Yeast Feeds. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the label of the article and in a circular entitled "National Yeast Feeds," which was shipped prior to the article, were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article contained 3.4 percent of fat and not less than 0.035 percent of potassium iodine; that the article would increase the appetite and aid digestion and assimilation; that it would be effective in giving hogs bigger appetites; that it would promote vigor and tone, aid the stomach and internal organs in the assimilation of the pork making elements in the usual farm feeds, eliminate practically all bowel troubles of hogs, and increase the growth and egg production of chickens; that it would be effective in making healthier hogs and baby chicks and would be effective in the cure, mitigation, and treatment of coccidiosis and other bowel troubles of chickens. The article contained less fat and potassium iodine than represented and it would not be effective for the purposes represented.

Master-Mix Mineral Feed. Misbranding, Section 403 (a), certain statements on the label of the article were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article would be effective to stimulate the appetite, promote digestion, and hasten assimilation of feeds; and that the article contained not less than 10 percent of crude protein, not less than 2.5 percent of crude fat, and not less than 0.08 percent of iodine. The article would not be effective for the purposes represented, and it contained less than the declared amounts of crude protein, crude fat, and iodine.

The articles, together with certain other veterinary drugs, were alleged also to be misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to drugs, as reported in notices of judgment on dugs and devices, No. 2546.

Disposition: April 29, 1948. A plea of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$250.

¹³⁸⁹². Misbranding of Paracelsus. U. S. v. 108 Cans, etc. (F. D. C. No. 23657. Sample Nos. 69018-H, 70034-H.)

LIBEL FILED: September 25, 1947, Northern District of Illinois.